

PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS, RELICH-KONDRACHOV THEOREM AND HARDY-SOBOLEV SPACES

G. HOEPFNER, R. KAPP, AND T. PICON

ABSTRACT. We will present versions of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for pseudodifferential operators acting on localizable Hardy spaces $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. One of the techniques includes boundedness properties for pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the Hörmander class $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that might be of interest in his own right, extending results previously obtained by Goldberg, Álvarez and Hounie, Taylor – among others. As application, we obtain compact embedding results for distributions in nonhomogeneous localizable Hardy-Sobolev spaces $h^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	4
3.	Proof of Theorem B	7
4.	Proof of the main result: Theorem A	11
5.	Nonhomogenous localizable Hardy-Sobolev spaces	15
6.	Additional results	18
	Acknowledgments	21
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. The classical compact embedding result due to F. Rellich and V. Kondrachov attests that $W^{k,p}(B) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \leq q < p_k^* := \frac{pN}{N-pk}$, where $B \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a compact set and $1 \leq p < \frac{N}{k}$. Although the Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimates imply the continuity of the inclusion, the compactness is totally critical for $q = p_k^*$.

These embedding results may be seen as boundedness of certain one parameter family of operators J_α for $\alpha > 0$, called Bessel potential, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad J_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} b(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B30, 42B15, 35S05.

Key words and phrases. Pseudodifferential operators; Hardy-Sobolev spaces; Rellich-Kondrachov; compactness; Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg.

Work supported in part by CNPq and FAPESP.

where $b(x, \xi) = (1 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{-\alpha/2}$ is the symbol, independent of x . The standard notation for the Bessel potential is usually given by $(1 - \Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ and since the symbol $b(x, \xi)$ belongs to the Hörmander class $S_{1,0}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (1.1) defines a pseudodifferential operator. This representation allows, for instance, interpret the nonhomogeneous Sobolev space $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, when α is a real positive number and $1 \leq p < \infty$, that is (see [S1] p. 252),

$$W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : J_{-\alpha}f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

With advent of the real Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ introduced by C. Fefferman and E. Stein ([FS], [S1]) the classical Sobolev spaces were naturally extended for $0 < p \leq 1$, being called Hardy-Sobolev spaces, defined by

$$H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : J_{-\alpha}f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

These spaces have been an object of study in several directions: maximal and pointwise characterizations ([KS, M1, Y]), atomic decomposition and applications to div-curl lemma ([BD, D]), elliptic inequalities [ART], among others. Although similar results on continuous embedding hold, the situation is dramatically different for compactness. The reason is that the Hardy spaces are not stable under multiplication by test functions. Thus, it is natural to replace $H^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by its localizable version $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ introduced by Goldberg in [G],

$$h^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) : J_{-\alpha}f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Moreover, if we consider the Riesz potential operator $\widehat{I_\alpha f}(\xi) = |\xi|^{-\alpha} \hat{f}(\xi)$ (see Stein-Weiss [SW] and Krantz [K] for more details) similar results for I_α acting on localizable Hardy spaces $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are not valid, e.g. [K].

It turns out that, such results were studied for distributions in $h^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ —in the context of div-curl estimates for elliptic systems of complex vector fields— and proved to be true, [HHP]. Namely, let B a generic ball and denote $\dot{h}_c^{1,p}(B)$ the subspace of all $u \in \mathcal{E}'(B)$ such that $\partial^\beta u \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $|\beta| = 1$, then (Theorem 3.1 in [HHP]) the embedding; $\dot{h}_c^{1,p}(B) \subset\subset h_c^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for $p \leq q < p^* := \frac{pN}{N-p}$.

The purpose of this paper is threefold: 1) to establish extensions of Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem for pseudodifferential operators on $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$; 2) obtain continuous embeddings for pseudodifferential operators with symbol in $S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ acting on subspaces of $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which might be viewed as extensions of Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimates; and, as applications, 3) show that, for pseudodifferential operators, the counter-example of Krantz is no longer valid.

The main results of this paper can now be stated. The Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem for pseudodifferential operators with symbols belonging to the Hörmander class acting on localizable Hardy spaces is the following.

Theorem A. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\alpha \in]0, N[$. Assume $b(x, D) \in OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1$. If there exist $R > 0$ such that $b(x, \xi) \equiv 0$ for $|x| > R$ then $b(x, D)$ maps compactly $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p \leq r < p_\alpha^* := \frac{pN}{N-\alpha p}$.*

The exponent p_α^* given above is the natural extension of the Sobolev conjugate when $\alpha \in]0, N[$.

In connection with 2), the next result is one of the main tools to proof Theorem A.

Theorem B. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, N[$. Then $b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $0 < \rho \leq 1$, $\delta \leq \rho$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where q is defined by*

$$\alpha = N \left[\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + (1 - \rho) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right].$$

Particular cases of Theorem B were proved earlier, namely:

- (1) When $\alpha = 0 = \delta$, $\rho = 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, the result was first obtained by Goldberg, [G].
- (2) The continuity in $h^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for operators with symbols in $S_{1,\delta}^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 < \delta$ was obtained by Taylor [Ta1].
- (3) When $\alpha = 0 < \delta$, $\rho = 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, Theorem B is the main result in [HK].
- (4) The case $\alpha = -1$, $\rho = 1$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ was treated recently in [HHP].

It worth to mention that Theorem A, holds in a more general situation as follows: if for $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $0 < \rho \leq 1$, $\delta \leq \rho$ and q is given as in Theorem B, then every $b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps compactly $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $p \leq r < q$.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result, similar to the one obtained by Krantz, [K], for the Riesz potential on $H^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $b(x, D) \in OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then $b(x, D)$ maps continuously*

- (i) $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^{p_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $p < N/\alpha$.
- (ii) $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $bmo(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $p = N/\alpha$.
- (iii) $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $\Lambda^{\alpha-N/p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $p > N/\alpha$.

Also, the compact embeddings $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B) \hookrightarrow h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be derived from Theorem A, see Section 5.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some tools needed on the subject of localizable Hardy spaces $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and pseudodifferential operators.

Section 3 will be devoted to prove Theorem B. The proof of Theorem A will be given in Section 4. In Section 5 we discuss applications such as Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem in nonhomogeneous localizable Hardy-Sobolev spaces, that might have interest in their own. The optimality of Theorem A, the proof of Corollary 1.1 and finally, extensions of a theorem due to Päiväranta and Somersalo ([PS]) will be given in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we will consider pseudodifferential operators with symbols in Hörmander's classes $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which we will now define. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$, a symbol $a = a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of order m and type (ρ, δ) is a smooth function defined on $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying the following estimates

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\rho|\beta|+\delta|\alpha|}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$$

with $\langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$. To each symbol $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we associate the pseudodifferential operator $a(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ given by

$$(2.1) \quad a(x, D)u(x) = \int e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\hat{u}(\xi)$ is the Fourier transform of u .

The symbolic calculus of compositions of such operators is given by

Proposition 2.1 ([Ta2, S1]). *Let $a_j(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho_j, \delta_j}^{m_j}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $j = 1, 2$, with $0 \leq \delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$ and $0 < \rho_1, \rho_2 \leq 1$. Assume $\delta_2 \leq \rho$, with $\rho = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$. Then*

$$a_1(x, D) \circ a_2(x, D) = q(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

with $\delta = \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. In addition, if $\delta_2 < \rho$, the symbol $q(x, \xi)$ has asymptotic expansion

$$q(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{(2\pi i)^{-|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha a_1(x, \xi) \partial_\xi^\alpha a_2(x, \xi).$$

Pseudodifferential operators are bounded from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and it possesses distribution kernels $K(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)$. A well known pointwise estimate for the kernel $K(x, y)$ is the context of the next result

Proposition 2.2 (Theorem 1.1 in [AH]). *Let $a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 < \rho \leq 1$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$. The distribution kernel $K(x, y)$ of $a(x, D)$ is smooth off the diagonal $\{(x, x)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and is given by*

$$K(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int e^{2\pi i(x-y) \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \psi(\varepsilon \xi) d\xi \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies $\psi \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$. If $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M + m + N > 0$ then for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ the kernel $K(x, y)$ satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=M} |D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta K(x, y)| \leq \frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}}{|x - y|^{\frac{M+m+N}{\rho}}}, \quad x \neq y.$$

Moreover, given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ there exists $L_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{|x-y| \geq 1/2} |x - y|^L |D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta K(x, y)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta N},$$

holds for every $L \geq L_0$.

Remark 2.1. The constants $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $C_{\alpha\beta N}$ appearing in (2.2) and (2.3), respectively, depend on α, β, N, L_0 and a finite (according with α and β) number of seminorms of $a(x, \xi)$ in $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

From now on, we will always assume $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $0 < \rho \leq 1$, $\delta \leq \rho$ when dealing with symbols in $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

2.1. Localizable Hardy spaces. Let us recall the localizable Hardy spaces $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ introduced by Goldberg in [G]. Fix, once for all, a nonnegative function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ supported in the unit ball with integral equal to 1. For $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we define the *small maximal function* $m_\varphi u$ by

$$m_\varphi u(x) = \sup_{0 < t < 1} |(u * \varphi_t)(x)|$$

where $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-N} \varphi(x/t)$.

Definition 2.1. Let $0 < p < \infty$. A tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $m_\varphi u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$; i.e.,

$$\|u\|_{h^p} := \|m_\varphi u\|_{L^p} < \infty.$$

When $p = \infty$, we set $h^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The spaces $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are independent of the choice of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) dx \neq 0$. For $0 < p \leq 1$, the space $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a complete metric space with the distance

$$d(u, v) = \|u - v\|_{h^p}^p, \quad u, v \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

For $p = 1$, $h^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a normed space densely contained in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For $p > 1$, $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\|u\|_{h^p}$ is a norm equivalent to the usual L^p norm. Although $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is not locally convex for $0 < p < 1$ and $\|u\|_{h^p}$ is not truly a norm (it is a quasi-norm [Tri]), we will still refer to $\|u\|_{h^p}$ as the “norm” of u , as it is customary.

Another equivalent definition, is as follows. Let

$$S_{\mathcal{J}} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta \psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, \quad |\alpha|, |\beta| \leq \mathcal{J}\} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and define the maximal function $\mathbf{m}f$, $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{m}f(x) = \sup_{\psi \in S_{\mathcal{J}}} m_{\psi}f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

It is not difficult to see that, for $\mathcal{J}$ big enough, depending on p and N , a tempered distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if $\mathbf{m}f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$; the functional

$$f \mapsto \|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \|\mathbf{m}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

defines a “norm” in $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{h^p}$ see, for instance [G, S1].

2.2. Duality.

Definition 2.2. *Let $0 < r < 1$. A continuous function f belongs to the homogeneous Hölder space $\dot{\Lambda}^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq c|h|^r,$$

for every $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For $r = 1$, $f \in \dot{\Lambda}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)| \leq c|h|,$$

and if $r = k + s$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $0 < s \leq 1$, $f \in \dot{\Lambda}^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if all derivatives $\partial^\alpha f \in \dot{\Lambda}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$, $|\alpha| = k$.

This is a locally convex topological vector space with the seminorm

$$|f|_{k+s} := \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x+h) - \partial^\alpha f(x)|}{|h|^s}, \quad 0 < s < 1$$

or

$$|f|_{k+1} := \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \sup_{\substack{x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{|\partial^\alpha f(x+h) + \partial^\alpha f(x-h) - 2\partial^\alpha f(x)|}{|h|}, \quad s = 1.$$

modulus the subspace of those functions such that $|f|_r = 0$ which are the polynomials of degree $\leq m$ if m is an integer such that $m - 1 < r \leq m$.

When $0 < p < 1$ the dual space of $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ may be identified with the nonhomogeneous Hölder space $\Lambda^r(\mathbb{R}^N) := \dot{\Lambda}^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $r = N\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_r = |f|_r + \|f\|_{L^\infty}$. The dual of $h^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be identified with the space $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which we define as follows.

Definition 2.3. *A function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ when*

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{|B| < 1} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x) - c| dx + \sup_{|B| \geq 1} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

Here B denote a generic ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$f_B := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x) dx := \oint_B f(x) dx,$$

where $|B|$ is the Lebesgue measure of B . Equivalently, a locally integrable function $f \in \text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ when

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{|B| < 1} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f - f_B| + \sup_{|B| \geq 1} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f| < \infty.$$

Throughout the paper we will use the notation B_x^r for a ball in $\mathbb{R}^N$ centered at x and radius r and B will denote a generic ball.

The quantities appearing in (2.5) and (2.6) define equivalent norms in $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Also, one can use cubes Q with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, instead of balls and the resulting quantities are comparable.

2.3. Atomic decomposition. We now describe the atomic decomposition for $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ([G, S1]). A bounded, compactly supported function $a(x)$ is an $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ atom if satisfies the following properties: there exists a cube Q with sides parallel to the coordinate axes containing the support of a such that

- (1) $|a(x)| \leq |Q|^{-1/p}$, a.e., with $|Q|$ denoting the Lebesgue measure of Q ;
- (2) if $\|a\|_{L^\infty} > 1$, we further require that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x^\alpha a(x) dx = 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$, $|\alpha| \leq N(p^{-1} - 1)$.

Any $f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be written as an infinite linear combination of h^p -atoms, more precisely, there exist scalars λ_j and h^p -atoms a_j such that $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$, the series $\sum_j \lambda_j a_j$ converges to f both in $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $\|f\|_{h^p}^p \sim \inf \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p$, where the infimum is taken over all atomic representations.

Thus, in order to prove that a continuous linear operator $T : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be extended as a bounded operator from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, to a complete metric space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ it will be enough to check that there exist $C > 0$ such that $\|m_\varphi T a\|_X \leq C$ uniformly for all h^p -atoms. We will be using X for either $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ or $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM B

Let us first recall a result on boundedness of pseudodifferential operators acting on L^p Lebesgue spaces.

Proposition 3.1 (Theorem 3.5 in [AH]). *Let $b(x, D) \in \text{Op}S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $b(x, D)$ maps continuously $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for*

$$\alpha = N \left[\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + (1 - \rho) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right],$$

and $1 < p \leq q \leq 2$.

In particular $b(x, D) \in OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, N[$ maps continuously $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^{r_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The proof of Theorem B will be divided in three cases.

3.1. Case $\rho = 1$. We want to show that for $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, N[$ then $b(x, D) \in OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $h^{p_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Given $\alpha \in]0, N[$ there is $r \in]1, \infty[$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r_\alpha^*} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\alpha}{N} \in \left] 0, \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

Let $a(x)$ be an h^p -atom supported in a cube Q centered at x_0 with side length l and denote by Q^* the cube with the same center and side length $2l$. Consider the notation $Ta := b(x, D)a$.

To estimate $\|m_\varphi Ta\|_{L^{p_\alpha^*}}$ we may write $\int |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^{p_\alpha^*} dx = I_1 + I_2$ with

$$I_1 = \int_{Q^*} |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^{p_\alpha^*} dx \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*} |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^{p_\alpha^*} dx.$$

Since T maps continuously $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^{r_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (Proposition 3.1) and $m_\varphi f(x)$ is bounded a.e. by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $Mf(x)$ which is bounded in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 < s \leq \infty$, it follows by Hölder's inequality with $\gamma := \frac{r_\alpha^*}{p_\alpha^*} > 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left(\int_{Q^*} |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^{p_\alpha^* \gamma} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} |Q^*|^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq \|M(Ta)\|_{L^{r_\alpha^*}}^{p_\alpha^*} |Q^*|^{1-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{r_\alpha^*}} \\ &\leq \|Ta\|_{L^{r_\alpha^*}}^{p_\alpha^*} |Q^*|^{1-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{r_\alpha^*}} \\ &\leq C \|a\|_{L^r}^{p_\alpha^*} |Q^*|^{1-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{r_\alpha^*}} \\ &\leq C \|a\|_{L^\infty}^{p_\alpha^*} |Q^*|^{\frac{p_\alpha^*}{r}} |Q^*|^{1-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{r_\alpha^*}} \\ &\leq C |Q|^{-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p}} |Q^*|^{1+p_\alpha^* \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_\alpha^*} \right)} \\ &= c_N, \end{aligned}$$

with $c_N > 0$ independent of the atom $a(x)$. When $\alpha = 0$ then $p_\alpha^* = p$ and the same control holds using that T is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself.

Before we estimate I_2 , we will recall some important facts that might be stated in a more general situation.

Remark 3.1. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $b = b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a pseudodifferential operator with symbol $b(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (Proposition 3.1) and denote $b^\varepsilon = b^\varepsilon(x, D)$ the operator $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N) \ni f \rightarrow \Phi_\varepsilon * bf$, for some $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note that $\Phi_\varepsilon * f$

may be written as (inverse Fourier transform)

$$\Phi_\varepsilon * f(x) = \int e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \hat{\Phi}(\varepsilon \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and regarded as a pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\hat{\Phi}(\varepsilon \xi)$. Moreover $\xi \rightarrow \hat{\Phi}(\varepsilon \xi)$ belongs to $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ uniformly in $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $b^\varepsilon(x, D)$ is obtained by composing on the left the pseudodifferential operator $f \rightarrow \Phi_\varepsilon * f$ with $b(x, D)$. The symbol of the composition will be denoted by $b^\varepsilon(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and kernel $K^\varepsilon(x, y)$. Therefore, it follows from Remark 2.1 that $K^\varepsilon(x, y)$ also satisfies estimates (2.2) and (2.3) but with constants $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $C_{\alpha \beta N}$ independent of $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Moving on, to estimate I_2 we will divide in two cases, depending on the type of the atoms considered. First we will consider atoms a that satisfies $\text{supp}(a) \subset Q$ along with conditions (1) and (2) in Section 2.3 for some cube Q of side length $\ell \leq 1$, in particular a has vanishing moments up to the order $N_p = [N(p^{-1} - 1)]$.

We denote Q by $Q(x_0, \ell)$ the cube centered at x_0 and side length ℓ and $b^\varepsilon(x, D)$ the composition operator $a \mapsto \varphi_\varepsilon * b(x, D)a$, with kernel $K^\varepsilon(x, \cdot)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} b^\varepsilon(x, D)a &= \int_Q \left(K^\varepsilon(x, y) - \sum_{|\gamma| \leq N_p} \partial_y^\gamma K^\varepsilon(x, x_0) \frac{(y - x_0)^\gamma}{\gamma!} \right) a(y) dy \\ (3.1) \quad &= \int_Q R^\varepsilon(x, y) a(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's formula and estimate (2.2) for $M = |\beta| = N_p + 1$, $m = -\alpha$ and $\rho = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in Q} |R^\varepsilon(x, y)| &\leq c \ell^{N_p+1} \sum_{|\beta| = N_p+1} \sup_{y \in Q} |\partial_y^\beta K^\varepsilon(x, y)| \\ (3.2) \quad &\leq c \frac{\ell^{N_p+1}}{|x - x_0|^{N_p+1-\alpha+N}}, \quad x \notin Q^*, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(3.3) \quad |b^\varepsilon(x, D)a| \leq c(N, p) |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}+1} \frac{\ell^{N_p+1}}{|x - x_0|^{N_p+N-\alpha+1}}$$

with $c(N, p)$ independent of $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ (see Remark 3.1). Since

$$N_p + N - \alpha + 1 > N \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) + N - \alpha = \frac{N}{p} - \alpha = \frac{N}{p_\alpha^*},$$

the function $|x - x_0|^{-(N_p+N-\alpha+1)p_\alpha^*}$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*$ and so

$$I_2^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*} |b^\varepsilon(x, D)a|^{p_\alpha^*} dx \leq c_1 |Q|^{-\frac{p_\alpha^*}{p} + p_\alpha^*} \ell^{(N_p+1)p_\alpha^* - (N_p+N-\alpha+1)p_\alpha^* + N} = c_1$$

uniformly in ε and ℓ .

Now we shall consider atoms a that satisfies $\text{supp}(a) \subset Q$ along with condition (1) in Section 2.3 for some cube Q of side length $\ell \geq 1$, in particular $\|a\|_\infty \leq 1$. As before, denote

Q by $Q(x_0, \ell)$ the cube centered at x_0 and side length ℓ . In this case, it will be enough to prove that

$$(3.4) \quad m_\varphi Ta(x) \leq c \frac{|Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}+1}}{|x-x_0|^L}, \quad x \notin Q^*,$$

where $c > 0$ e $L > \max\{N/p_\alpha^*, L_0\}$ are fixed independent of the atom $a(x)$. In fact, if (3.4) is proved, then

$$I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*} \left(\frac{|Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}+1}}{|x-x_0|^L} \right)^{p_\alpha^*} dx \lesssim \ell^{p_\alpha^* N(1-\frac{1}{p}) + (N-Lp_\alpha^*)} \lesssim 1.$$

To prove (3.4), we must show that

$$(3.5) \quad |b^\varepsilon(x, D)a| \leq c \frac{|Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}+1}}{|x-x_0|^L}, \quad x \notin Q^*,$$

with $c > 0$ and $L > \max\{N/p_\alpha^*, L_0\}$ independent of $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and the atom $a(x)$.

Let us proof (3.5). For $x \notin Q^*$ and $y \in Q$ we have $|x-y| \sim |x-x_0|$ and $|x-y| \geq \ell/2 \geq 1/2$. Then, one can apply (2.3) from Proposition 2.2 for $m = 0$, $\rho = 1$ and $L > \max\{N/p_\alpha^*, L_0\}$ to obtain

$$|b^\varepsilon(x, D)a| = \left| \int_Q K^\varepsilon(x, y)a(y)dy \right| \leq C_L \frac{|Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}+1}}{|x-x_0|^L}, \quad x \notin Q^*$$

showing that (3.5) holds.

Summing up, we have proved that $b(x, D)$ is bounded from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^{p_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. $\square$

3.2. Case $p = q$ and $\rho > 0$. In this case we want to prove that for $0 < q \leq 1$ and $\alpha = N(1-\rho)\left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$, if $b(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\delta \leq \rho$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $0 < \rho < 1$, then $b(x, D)$ maps continuously $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself.

Using the same notation as before, let

$$I_1 = \int_{Q^*} |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^q dx \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*} |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^q dx.$$

Since T is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself then

$$I_1 \leq \left(\int_{Q^*} |m_\varphi Ta(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} |Q^*|^{1-\frac{q}{2}} \lesssim \|a\|_{L^2}^q |Q^*|^{1-\frac{q}{2}} \leq C,$$

independent of the atom a .

To estimate I_2 , let $b^\varepsilon(x, D) = \varphi_\varepsilon * b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho, \delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as in Remark 3.1. For atoms a that satisfies $supp(a) \subset Q$ for some cube Q of side length $\ell \leq 1$ along with vanishing moments, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad |b^\varepsilon(x, D)a| \lesssim |Q|^{-\frac{1}{q}+1} \ell^{N_q+1} |x-x_0|^{-\frac{N_q+N-\alpha+1}{\rho}},$$

independent of $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Since $0 < \rho < 1$ and $\alpha = N(1 - \rho) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$ we have

$$\frac{N_q + N - \alpha + 1}{\rho} > \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{N}{q} - \alpha \right) = \frac{N}{q} + \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - 1 \right),$$

showing that the function $|x - x_0|^{-\frac{(N_p + N - \alpha + 1)}{\rho}q}$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*$ and

$$I_2^\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*} |b^\epsilon(x, D)a|^q dx \leq c_1 \ell^{(N_q + 1)q - (\frac{N_p + N - \alpha + 1}{\rho})q + N} = c_1$$

uniformly in ϵ and ℓ . For atoms a with satisfies $\text{supp}(a) \subset Q$ for some cube Q of side length $\ell \geq 1$, thanks to (3.4) for $L > \max \{N/q, L_0\}$ we have

$$I_2^\epsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Q^*} \left(\frac{|Q|^{-\frac{1}{q} + 1}}{|x - x_0|^L} \right)^q dx \lesssim \ell^{qN(1 - \frac{1}{q}) + (N - Lq)} \lesssim 1.$$

Summing up, we have proved that $b(x, D)$ is bounded from $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself. $\square$

3.3. General case. Write $b(x, D) = (b(x, D) \circ J_{-m}) \circ J_m$ for $m = N \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)$. It follows from the case $\rho = 1$, Subsection 3.1, that J^m is bounded from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The proof follows since $b(x, D) \circ J_{-m} \in OpS_{\rho, \delta}^{-\alpha + m}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is bounded from $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself, since $\alpha - m = N(1 - \rho) \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT: THEOREM A

According with Theorem B and Interpolation Theorem for localizable Hardy spaces (see [Tri]) it will be enough to verify the compactness.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\delta = 0$. Fix $p \leq q < p_\alpha^*$ and $b(x, D) \in OpS_{1,0}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $b(x, \xi) = 0$ for $|x| > R$. We will show that if $\{u_m\}_m$ is a bounded sequence in $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then there exist a subsequence $\{b(x, D)u_{m_j}\}_j$ which converges in $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consider the regularizations $b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m = \eta_\epsilon * b(x, D)u_m$ where $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_0^1)$, $\int \eta dx = 1$, $\eta_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-N} \eta(x/\epsilon)$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. It is enough to show that the double sequence $\{b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m\}_{\epsilon, m}$ has the following two properties:

- (i) For any fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$, the sequence $\{b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a precompact subset of $h_c^q(B')$;
- (ii) $b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m \rightarrow b(x, D)u_m$ in $h_c^q(B')$ uniformly in m as $\epsilon \searrow 0$.

Here B' is a closed ball that contains the support of all $b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m$ and $h_c^q(B') := h^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{E}'(B')$. Since the inclusion $C_c(B') \subset h_c^q(B')$ is continuous, property (i) will follow once we proof that $\{b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m\}_m$ is a precompact subset of $C_c(B')$. We claim that for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\{b(x, D)^\epsilon u_m\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. In fact, from the duality between localizable Hardy space $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Hölder space Λ^γ for $\gamma = N \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1 \right)$ — or between

$h^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ — we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m(x)| &= |\langle b(x, D)u_m, \eta_\varepsilon(x - \cdot) \rangle| \\
&\leq \|b(x, D)u_m\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\eta_\varepsilon\|_{\Lambda^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq C(p, N, \alpha) \|u_m\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\eta_\varepsilon\|_{\Lambda^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq C_1(p, N, \alpha, \eta) \varepsilon^{-(N+\gamma)} \|u_m\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}
\end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m(x)| &\leq \|b(x, D)u_m\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\nabla \eta_\varepsilon\|_{\Lambda^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq C_2(p, N, \alpha, \eta) \varepsilon^{-(N+1+\gamma)} \|u_m\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

To prove (ii) we will first consider the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m(x) - b(x, D)u_m(x) &= \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (b(x, D)u_m * \eta_s)(x) ds \\
&= - \int_0^\varepsilon \{b(x, D)u_m * \nabla[x \cdot \eta]_s\}(x) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (b(x, D)u_m * \eta_s)(x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int b(x, D)u_m(x - y) \eta_s(y) dy \\
&= \int b(x, D)u_m(x - y) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{1}{s^N} \eta\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) \right) \right\} dy
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{1}{s^N} \eta\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) \right) &= -N \frac{1}{s^{N+1}} \eta\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) - \frac{1}{s^N} \sum_k (\partial_k \eta)\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) \frac{y_k}{s^2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{s} \left(N \frac{1}{s^N} \eta\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) + \frac{1}{s^N} \sum_k (\partial_k \eta)\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) \frac{y_k}{s} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{s} \sum_k \left(\frac{1}{s^N} \eta\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) + \frac{1}{s^N} (\partial_k \eta)\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) \frac{y_k}{s} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{s} \sum_k \frac{1}{s^N} \partial_k \left[\eta\left(\frac{y}{s}\right) y_k \right] \\
&:= -\nabla[y \cdot \eta]_s.
\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$(4.1) \quad h(\beta, t, s, x) = t^{\beta-1} [\Gamma_\beta(t, D)(x \cdot \eta)]_s,$$

where $\Gamma_\beta(t, \xi) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \xi (t^2 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} := (2\pi i)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \xi_k (t^2 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(J_\beta \circ \nabla) g_t(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \Gamma_\beta(1, \xi) \widehat{g}_t(\xi) d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} \Gamma_\beta(1, \xi) \widehat{g}(t\xi) d\xi \\
&= t^{-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \frac{\xi}{t}} \Gamma_\beta(1, \xi/t) \widehat{g}(\xi) d\xi \\
&= t^{\beta-1-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i \frac{x}{t} \cdot \xi} \Gamma_\beta(t, \xi) \widehat{g}(\xi) d\xi \\
&= t^{\beta-1} [\Gamma_\beta(t, D) g]_t(x) = t^{\beta-1} [J_\beta(t, D) \circ \nabla g]_t(x),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(x, D) u_m)(x) &= - \int_0^\varepsilon \{b(x, D) u_m * \nabla[x \cdot \eta]_s\}(x) ds \\
&= - \int_0^\varepsilon \{(b(x, D) \circ J_{-\alpha}) u_m * (J_\alpha \circ \nabla)\{[x \cdot \eta]_s\}\}(x) ds \\
&= - \int_0^\varepsilon \{b_0(x, D) u_m * h(\alpha, s, s, x)\}(x) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_0(x, D) := b(x, D) \circ J_{-\alpha} \in OpS_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and vanishes identically for $|x| > R$. Note that the convolution in the last integral above is given by

$$s^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_\alpha(s, D) \{y \cdot \eta\}(y) \cdot b_0(x, D) u_m(x - sy) dy.$$

Convolving both sides with $\varphi_\rho(x) = \rho^{-N} \varphi(x/\rho)$, $0 < \rho < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad &(\varphi_\rho * [b(\cdot, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(\cdot, D) u_m])(x) = \\
&= - \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} s^{\alpha-1} [\Gamma_\alpha(s, D)(y \cdot \eta)](y) [\varphi_\rho * b_0(\cdot, D) u_m](x - sy) dy ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where K is a compact set that contains the support of $(\varphi_\rho * b_0(\cdot, D) u_m)(x - sy)$, for all $|x| < R$ and $0 < s < 1$. If we set $\psi^{s,y}(x) = \varphi(x - sy)$ we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_\rho * b_0(\cdot, D) u_m)(x - sy) &= \int \frac{1}{\rho^N} \psi^{s,y}((x - x')/\rho) b_0(\cdot, D) u_m(x') dx' \\
&= (\psi_\rho^{s,y} * b_0(\cdot, D) u_m)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Since (see Subsection 4.1 bellow)

$$(4.3) \quad \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^n} s^{\alpha-1} [\Gamma_\alpha(s, D)(y \cdot \eta)] dy ds < C \varepsilon^\gamma,$$

where $C = C(\eta, K) > 0$, $\gamma = \gamma(N, \alpha) > 0$ and $\{\psi^{s,y} : |s|, |y| \leq 1\}$ is a bounded subset of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, (2.4), we obtain

$$|\varphi_\rho * (b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(x, D) u_m)(x)| \leq C \varepsilon^\gamma \mathbf{m}[b_0(x, D) u_m](x)$$

and taking the supremum for $0 < \rho < 1$, we can write

$$m_\varphi[b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(x, D)u_m](x) \leq C \varepsilon^\gamma \mathbf{m}[b_0(x, D)u_m](x).$$

Using the continuity of the inclusion $h_c^q(B_0^R) \hookrightarrow h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(x, D)u_m\|_{h^q} &= \|m_\varphi[b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(x, D)u_m]\|_{L^q} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma \|\mathbf{m}[b_0(x, D)u_m]\|_{L^q} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma \|b_0(x, D)u_m\|_{h^q} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma \|b_0(x, D)u_m\|_{h^p} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma \|u_m\|_{h^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This conclude the proof of (ii). To finish the proof, we claim that, for a given $\delta > 0$, there exist a subsequence $\{b(x, D)u_{m_j}\}_j \subset \{b(x, D)u_m\}_m$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \limsup_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \|b(x, D)u_{m_j} - b(x, D)u_{m_k}\|_{h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \delta.$$

Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \|b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m - b(x, D)u_m\|_{h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \delta/2$$

uniformly in m . Since $\{b(x, D)u_m\}_m$ and $\{b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_m\}_m$ are supported in a closed ball B' , by Arzelà-Ascoli theorem there exist a subsequence $\{b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_{m_j}\}_j$ which converges uniformly in B' . In particular,

$$(4.6) \quad \limsup_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} \|b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_{m_j} - b(x, D)^\varepsilon u_{m_k}\|_{h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0.$$

Note that (4.4) is a consequence of (4.5) and (4.6). Using (4.4) for $\delta = 1/n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and the diagonal process we can extract a convergent subsequence $\{b(x, D)u_{m_l}\}_l$. $\square$

4.1. Integral estimate. Let us verify (4.3). Write $g(y) := y \cdot \eta(y)$. Suppose first that $\alpha \geq 1$, in this case $\Gamma_\alpha(1, D) \in OpS_{1,0}^{1-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is bounded from $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself for $1 < r < \infty$. Choose $1 < r < N/(N-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^N} s^{\alpha-1} [\Gamma_\alpha(s, D)g](y) dy ds \right| &= \left| \int_0^\varepsilon \int_{K \subset \mathbb{R}^N} s^N [\Gamma_\alpha(1, D)g_s](sy) dy ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^\varepsilon \left(\int_{\tilde{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^N} [\Gamma_\alpha(1, D)g_s](y) dy \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq c(\tilde{K}) \int_0^\varepsilon \|\Gamma_\alpha(1, D)g_s\|_{L^r} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^\varepsilon \|g_s\|_{L^r} ds \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{L^r} \int_0^\varepsilon s^{\frac{N}{r}-N} ds \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

if $\gamma := \frac{N}{r} - N + 1$. Note that for $\alpha > 1$, $\Gamma_\alpha(1, D)$ has negative order thus is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself.

Now consider the case where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Note that the fractional derivative $(-\partial_{x_k})^\sigma$ given by $\mathfrak{F}[(-\partial_{x_k})^\sigma g](\xi) := (\xi_k)^\sigma \widehat{g}(\xi)$ satisfies the following scaling property

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(-\partial_{x_k})^\sigma g_t\|_{L^r} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} (\xi_k)^\sigma \widehat{g}(t\xi) d\xi \right|^r dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi t^{-1}} (t^{-1}\xi_k)^\sigma \widehat{g}(\xi) t^{-N} d\xi \right|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\
&= t^{-\sigma-N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi t^{-1}} (\xi_k)^\sigma \widehat{g}(\xi) d\xi \right|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\
&= t^{-\sigma} \| [(-\partial_{x_k})^\sigma g]_t \|_{L^r} \\
&= t^{-\sigma + \frac{N}{r} - N} \| (-\partial_{x_k})^\sigma g \|_{L^r},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq r < \infty$. Thus, we may write

$$\Gamma_\alpha(1, D) = \sum_{k=1}^N (J_\alpha \circ (-\partial_k)^\alpha) \circ (-\partial_k)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Therefore, if $1 < r < N/(N - \alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\varepsilon \|\Gamma_\alpha(1, D)g_s\|_{L^r} ds &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^\varepsilon \|(J_\alpha \circ (-\partial_k)^\alpha) \circ (-\partial_k)^{1-\alpha} g_s\|_{L^r} ds \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^\varepsilon \|(-\partial_k)^{1-\alpha} g_s\|_{L^r} ds \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^N \|(-\partial_k)^{1-\alpha} g\|_{L^r} \int_0^\varepsilon s^{\frac{N}{r} - N - (1-\alpha)} ds \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

if $\gamma := \frac{N}{r} - N + \alpha$ since $(J_\alpha \circ (-\partial_k)^\alpha)(\xi) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \xi_k^\alpha (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{-\alpha/2}$ defines a multiplier that is bounded from $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself for any $1 < r < \infty$, in view of Mikhlin-Hörmander theorem (see [S2, Theorem 3]).

5. NONHOMOGENEOUS LOCALIZABLE HARDY-SOBOLEV SPACES

Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $0 < p < \infty$. We say that a tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ belongs to $h^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $J_{-\alpha}u \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We define its topology by the semi norm

$$\|u\|_{h^{\alpha,p}} := \|J_{-\alpha}u\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

When $\alpha = k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have the equivalence

$$(5.1) \quad \|u\|_{h^{k,p}} \cong \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} \|\partial^\beta u\|_{h^p},$$

and we naturally recover the Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ (see the Section 5.2.1 in [S1] for details). The spaces $h^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be characterized via general elliptic pseudodifferential operators. We say $a(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is elliptic if, for some $0 < \gamma < \infty$ the symbol satisfies $|a(x, \xi)| \geq C\langle \xi \rangle^m$ for $|\xi| \geq \gamma$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $a(x, D) \in OpS_{1,\delta}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be an elliptic pseudodifferential operator with $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Then*

$$h^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^N) : u, a(x, D)u \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$$

either as set and as topological spaces.

PROOF: ($\subset$) Since J_α is invertible and $a(x, D) \circ J_\alpha$ has order zero (therefore maps continuously from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself), we have

$$\|a(x, D)u\|_{h^p} \leq C\|u\|_{h^{\alpha,p}}.$$

($\supset$) Conversely, let $Q(x, D) \in OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the parametrix of $a(x, D)$, i.e. there exist a pseudodifferential operator $R(x, D) \in OpS^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$u = Q(x, D)a(x, D)u + R(x, D)u, \quad \forall u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since $J_{-\alpha} \circ Q(x, D)$ has order zero and $R(x, D)$ is a regularizing operator, we obtain

$$\|J_{-\alpha}u\|_{h^p} \lesssim \|a(x, D)u\|_{h^p} + \|u\|_{h^p},$$

concluding the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.1. *Let $N \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^*$ such that $0 \leq k < N$ and $p_k^* = \frac{pN}{N - kp}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(5.2) \quad \|u\|_{h^{p_k^*}} \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} \|\partial^\beta u\|_{h^p}.$$

PROOF: Follows from the equivalence (5.1) and Theorem B. $\square$

Let us return to the case where α is a positive integer. Inspired in the homogeneous Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg estimate we can ask about the validity of the following estimate

$$(5.3) \quad \|u\|_{h^{p^*}} \leq C \sum_{|\beta|=k} \|\partial^\beta u\|_{h^p}.$$

Although this estimate holds for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ it was shown (see Remark 2.7 in [K]) that it fails for $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. On the other hand, in [HHP], the authors proved that for $k = 1$, estimate (5.3) holds if we restrict to the subspace $h_c^p(B) \subset h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, of tempered distributions supported in a fix ball B . For B a generic ball and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^*$ we denote $\dot{h}_c^{k,p}(B)$ as the subspace of all $u \in \mathcal{E}'(B)$ such that $\partial^\beta u \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $|\beta| = k$. Consider $0 \leq k < N$ to be an integer, $0 < p \leq 1$ and p_k^* as before, then:

- (i) there is a continuous embedding $\dot{h}_c^{k,p}(B) \subset h_c^{p_k^*}(B)$;
- (ii) for $p \leq q < p_k^*$, the embedding $\dot{h}_c^{k,p}(B) \subset\subset h_c^q(B)$ is compact.

The case $k = 1$ was proved in [HHP] and the general statement follows easily by induction in k .

For $B = B(x_0, \ell)$ a fixed ball let $\tilde{B} = B(x_0, 2\ell)$ the ball with same center as B but twice the radius. Let $\psi(x) \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{B})$ satisfying $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ on B and define $\Lambda_\alpha := \Lambda_\alpha(x, D)$ the pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\lambda_\alpha(x, \xi) = \psi(x) \langle \xi \rangle^\alpha$. Denote by $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B)$ the set of distributions $u \in \mathcal{E}'(B)$ such that $\Lambda_\alpha u \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ equipped with the semi-norm $\|u\|_{h_c^{\alpha,p}(B)} := \|\Lambda_\alpha u\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. Note that the space $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B)$ is independent of the choice of $\psi(x)$; i.e, if $\psi_2(x), \psi_1(x) \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{B})$ satisfies $\psi_1(x) = \psi_2(x) \equiv 1$ on B then $\|\Lambda_{\alpha, \psi_1} u\|_{h^p} \cong \|\Lambda_{\alpha, \psi_2} u\|_{h^p}$. In view of the previous comment, we have the inclusion $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B) \subset h_c^p(\tilde{B})$. Next we present a version of Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $N \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha < N$ and $p_\alpha^* = \frac{pN}{N - \alpha p}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Then*

- (i) *If $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open ball, there is a continuous embedding $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B) \subset h^{p_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*
- (ii) *For $p \leq q < p_\alpha^*$, the embedding $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B) \subset\subset h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact.*

PROOF: Item (i) is a consequence of the Theorem B while (ii) follows from Theorem A applied to the pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\lambda_\alpha(x, \xi) = \psi(x) \langle \xi \rangle^\alpha$. $\square$

Under the compact support assumption, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $N \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha < N$ and $\frac{N}{N+\alpha} < p \leq 1$. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a ball $B = B_0^s$ with $s = s(\epsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$(5.4) \quad \|u\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \epsilon \|u\|_{h_c^{\alpha,p}(B)}, \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(B).$$

PROOF: The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ there exists, for each $0 < s \leq 1$, $\phi_s \in C_c^\infty(B_0^s)$ satisfying

$$\|\phi_s\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \epsilon_0 \|\Lambda_\alpha \phi_s\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Now, we can use the fact that $\frac{N}{N+\alpha} < p$, which implies $p_\alpha^* > 1$, and choose $1 < q < p_\alpha^*$, to obtain

$$C \|\phi_s\|_{L^q} \geq \|\Lambda_\alpha \phi_s\|_{h^p}.$$

Taking $s = 1/n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we get a sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subset C_c^\infty(B_0^{1/n})$ such that, after renormalization, it will satisfy

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^q} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Lambda_\alpha \phi_n\|_{h^p} \leq C.$$

By the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for $h_c^{\alpha,p}(B)$, one can find a subsequence $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\phi \in h^q(\mathbb{R}^N) = L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence $\|\phi\|_{L^q} = 1$ although $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{0\}$, a contradiction. $\square$

6. ADDITIONAL RESULTS

6.1. Optimality. We shall now discuss about the sharpness of the Theorem B when $\delta = 0$, in other words, the dependence of p_α^* with respect $\rho = 1$.

Let $\alpha \in [0, N]$, $0 < \rho < 1$ and suppose that every $b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,0}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^{p_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, $a(x, D) := J_{-\alpha} \circ b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself for all $b(x, D) \in OpS_{\rho,0}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, a contradiction, since there exists a pseudodifferential operator with symbol in $S_{\rho,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 < \rho < 1$ that is not bounded from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself for $0 < p \leq 1$ (see [F, HK]). Conversely, for which values of $p \leq q \leq \infty$ do we expect $b(x, D) \in OpS_{1,0}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$?

Let us discuss the necessary condition on $h^p - h^q$ continuity of pseudodifferential operators in the class $OpS_{1,0}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note that

$$q \leq p_\alpha^* \implies \alpha \geq N \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \iff -\alpha \leq N \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

Suppose $m > N(1/q - 1/p)$. We claim that the pseudodifferential operator $\Theta(D)$ with symbol $\langle \xi \rangle^m := (1 + 4\pi^2 |\xi|^2)^{m/2} \in S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is unbounded from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Denote by (x_1, x') , $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_N)$, a generic point in $\mathbb{R}^N \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Choose $\psi(x') \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$ supported in the unit cube Q' in $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ with $\widehat{\psi}(0) = 1$, define

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ -1 & \text{for } -1/2 \leq t < 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } |t| > 1/2, \end{cases}$$

and set $f_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-N/p} \alpha(x_1/\varepsilon) \psi(x'/\varepsilon)$, for some fixed $N/(N+1) < p \leq 1$. Then $f_\varepsilon(x)/\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}$ is an h^p -atom and we see that $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{h^p} \simeq \|f_\varepsilon\|_{H^p} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C'$ for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. If $F_\varepsilon(x) = \Theta(D)f_\varepsilon(x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F_\varepsilon}(\xi_1, \xi') &= \langle \xi \rangle^m \varepsilon^{N(1-1/p)} \widehat{\psi}(\varepsilon \xi'_1) \widehat{\alpha}(\varepsilon \xi_1) \\ &= \langle \xi \rangle^m \varepsilon^{N(1-1/p)} \widehat{\psi}(\varepsilon \xi') \left(\frac{1 - \cos(\pi \varepsilon \xi_1)}{i\pi \varepsilon \xi_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $|\widehat{F_\varepsilon}(1/2\varepsilon, 0')| \simeq \varepsilon^{N(1-1/p)-m}$ and

$$\frac{|\widehat{F_\varepsilon}(1/2\varepsilon, 0')|}{\langle (1/2\varepsilon, 0') \rangle^{N(\frac{1}{q}-1)}} \geq \varepsilon^{N(1-\frac{1}{p})-m+N(\frac{1}{q}-1)}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$$

thus, we conclude that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\widehat{F_\varepsilon}(\xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{N(q^{-1}-1)}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

This shows that $\Theta(D)$ cannot be continuous from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in view of the decay of the Fourier transform $\widehat{F_\varepsilon}$ ([HK, Proposition 5.1]). Similarly, this example can be extended for $0 < p \leq N/(N+1)$.

6.2. On the Theorem B.

6.2.1. *Proof of Corollary 1.1.* **Item (i)** If $\alpha \geq N$ choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta := \alpha/k < N$. Consider the semigroup decomposition of J_α given by

$$J_\alpha = \underbrace{J_\beta \circ J_\beta \circ \cdots \circ J_\beta}_{k\text{-times}}.$$

For each $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k$ let $p_\ell \in]0, \infty[$ given by

$$\frac{1}{p_\ell} := \frac{1}{p} - \ell \frac{\beta}{N}.$$

To conclude the result, it is enough to show that J_β maps continuously $h^{p_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^{p_{\ell+1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\ell = 0, \dots, k-1$. But this is a direct consequence of Theorem B and Proposition 3.1, since

$$\frac{1}{p_{\ell+1}} = \frac{1}{p_\ell} - \frac{\beta}{N}$$

and $\beta \in]0, N[$. □

Item (ii) To show that $T := b(x, D) : h^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $p = \frac{N}{\alpha}$ is bounded, it will be enough to show that Ta defines a bounded linear functional in $h^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with norm independent of the h^p -atom a . This will be achieved once we show that

$$|\langle Ta, b \rangle| \leq C, \quad \text{for all } h^1\text{-atom } b \text{ and } h^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}\text{-atom } a,$$

with constant C independent of a and b .

Let $0 < \tilde{\alpha} < \alpha$ so that $0 < \beta := \alpha - \tilde{\alpha} < N$. Thus $p < N/\tilde{\alpha}$ and by Theorem B (or Corollary 1.1, item (i)) implies that

$$OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : h^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow h^{p_{\tilde{\alpha}}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = L^{p_{\tilde{\alpha}}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \frac{1}{p_{\tilde{\alpha}}} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{N} = \frac{\beta}{N} < 1,$$

and

$$OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N) : h^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow h^{1_{\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = L^{1_{\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \frac{1}{1_{\beta}} = 1 - \frac{\beta}{N} < 1.$$

Since $(J_\beta)^* = J_\beta$ see, for instance, [Ta2, Proposition 3.2], we can write

$$(6.1) \quad \langle Ta, b \rangle = \langle J_{-\beta}Ta, (J_\beta)^*b \rangle = \langle J_{-\beta}Ta, J_\beta b \rangle.$$

The proof is complete since $(p_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*, 1_{\beta}^*)$ are Hölder conjugate. □

Item (iii) The proof is similar to the one given above and we will include it here for the sake of completeness. To show that $T := b(x, D) : h^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \Lambda^{\alpha-N/p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is bounded for any $p > N/\alpha$, it will be enough to show that Ta defines a linear functional in $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $\frac{1}{q} := \frac{\alpha}{N} - \frac{1}{p} + 1$, bounded with norm independent of a . This will be achieved once we show that

$$|\langle Ta, b \rangle| \leq C, \quad \text{for all } h^q\text{-atom } b \text{ and } h^{\frac{N}{\alpha}}\text{-atom } a,$$

with constant C independent of a and b .

Let $0 < \tilde{\alpha} := \frac{N}{p} < \alpha$ so that $0 < \beta := \alpha - \tilde{\alpha}$. Thus $p = N/\tilde{\alpha}$. Therefore, Theorem B (or Corollary 1.1, item (i)) and Corollary 1.1 item (ii), implies that

$$OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\tilde{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : h^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$OpS_{1,\delta}^{-\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N) : h^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow h^{q^*}_{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \frac{1}{q^*_{\beta}} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\beta}{N} = 1.$$

The conclusion follows from (6.1), and the duality between $h^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. $\square$

6.2.2. Extending a result from [PS]. When $0 < \delta = \rho < 1$ we have a new proof of Theorem 4.1 in [PS] due to Pääväranta and Somersalo, which we believe is simpler. Pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the exotic class $S_{0,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are not pseudo-local (see [S1] p.323) therefore the proof of Theorem B does not apply. However, the composition of exotic symbols with non-exotic ones allow us to extend Theorem 4.1 in [PS] for $\rho = 0$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\mu = N\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then $b(x, D) \in OpS_{0,0}^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^{p^*}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < \min\{\mu, N\}$.*

PROOF: For $b(x, D) \in OpS_{0,0}^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \mu$ we set

$$b(x, D) = (b(x, D) \circ J_{-\alpha}) \circ J_{\alpha}.$$

The symbolic calculus of composition shows $b(x, D) \circ J_{-\alpha} \in OpS_{0,0}^{-(\mu-\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that is bounded from $h^{p^*}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself (Theorem 4.1 in [PS] when $\rho = 0$), since

$$\mu - \alpha = N\left(\frac{1}{p^*_{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \mu = N\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

If $\alpha \in [0, N[$ then by Theorem B the operator $J_{\alpha} \in OpS_{1,0}^{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $h^{p^*}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the result follows. Note that $0 < p \leq 1$ implies that $N \leq 2\mu$ and so $0 \leq \alpha < \min\{\mu, N\}$. $\square$

Remark 6.1. *In the statement of Proposition 6.1 the target space $h^{p^*}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ may be replaced by $h^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \leq q \leq p^*_{\alpha}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \min\{\mu, N\}$.*

The limit case in Proposition 6.1 occurs when $\mu < N$ and $\alpha = \mu$.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $2/3 < p \leq 1$ and $\mu = N\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then $b(x, D) \in OpS_{0,0}^{-\mu}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ maps continuously $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$.*

PROOF: Note that $2/3 < p \leq 1$ is equivalent to $N \leq 2\mu < 2N$ for $\mu = N\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$. If $p^*_{\alpha} = 2$ then $\alpha = \mu$ and we have $b(x, D) \circ J_{-\alpha} \in OpS_{0,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to itself. The result follows, since J_{α} is bounded from $h^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. $\square$

6.2.3. *Hörmander and Bony classes.* The Bony and Hörmander classes behave well under composition, enjoy pointwise estimates for the kernel and are $L^p - L^q$ continuous, that lead to the same conclusions as Theorem B. The analogous of Theorem B for $\mathcal{BS}_{1,1}^0$ and $\tilde{S}_{1,1}^0$ (see [H, J]) can be proved by composing with J_α and using Theorem 4.3 of [HK] .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Prof. Jorge Hounie (Universidade Federal de São Carlos) for helpful discussions and suggestions concerning this work.

REFERENCES

- [AH] J. Álvarez and J. Hounie, *Estimates for the kernel and continuity properties of pseudodifferential operators*, Ark. Mat. **28**, 1-22 (1990). 2.2, 3.1
- [BD] N. Badr and G. Dafni, *An atomic decomposition of the Hajlasz Sobolev space M_1^1 on manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), no. 6, 1380–1420. 1
- [D] G. Dafni, *Nonhomogeneous div-curl Lemmas and Local Hardy Spaces*, Adv. in Diff. Eq., **Vol. 10** (5), 505-526 (2005). 1
- [ART] P. Auscher, E. Russ and P. Tchamitchian, *Hardy Sobolev spaces on strongly Lipschitz domains of $\mathbb{R}^n$* , J. Funct. Anal. **218**, 54-109 (2005). 1
- [F] C. Fefferman, *L^p bounds for pseudo-differential operators*, Israel J. Math. **11**, 413-417 (1973). 6.1
- [FS] C. Fefferman and E. Stein, *H^p spaces of several variables*, Acta. Math., **129**, 137-193 (1972). 1
- [G] D. Goldberg, *A local version of real Hardy spaces*, Duke Math. J. **46**, 27-42 (1979). 1, 1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.3
- [HHP] G. Hoepfner, J. Hounie, and T. Picon, *Div curl type estimates for elliptic systems of complex vector fields*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **429**, 774-799 (2015). 1, 4, 5
- [H] L. Hörmander, *Lectures on Nonlinear Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, (Mathématiques et Applications) Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (1997). 6.2.3
- [HK] J. Hounie and R. Kapp, *Pseudodifferential Operators on Local Hardy Spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **15**, 153-178 (2009). 3, 6.1, 6.1, 6.2.3
- [J] J. Johnsen, *L_p -theory of type 1,1-operators*, Math. Nachr. **286**, no. 7, 712- 729 (2013). 6.2.3
- [KS] P. Koskela and E. Saksman *Pointwise characterization of Hardy-Sobolev functions*, Math. Res. Lett. **15**, no.4, 727–744 (2008). 1
- [K] S. Krantz, *Fractional integration on Hardy Spaces*, Studia Math. **63**, 87-94 (1982). 1, 1, 5
- [M1] A. Miyachi, *Hardy-Sobolev spaces and maximal functions*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **42**, no.1, 73-90 (1990). 1
- [PS] L. Päivärinta and E. Somersalo, *A generalization of the Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem to L^p and h^p* , Math. Nachr. **188**, 145-156 (1988). 1, 6.2.2, 6.2.2
- [S1] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey (1993). 1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.3, 5, 6.2.2
- [S2] E. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey (1970). 4.1
- [SW] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables I*, Acta Math. **103**, 25-62 (1960). 1
- [Ta1] M. Taylor, *Tools for PDE*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, (2000). 2
- [Ta2] M. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations II, Qualitative Studies of Linear Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer, (2011). 2.1, 6.2.1
- [Tri] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Monographs in Math. **78**, Birkhauser (1983). 2.1, 4
- [Y] Y. Cho, *Inequalities related to H^p smoothness of Sobolev type* , Integral Equ. Opet. Theory **35** (1999) 471–484. 1

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, SÃO CARLOS, SP,
13565-905, BRASIL

E-mail address: `hoepfner@dm.ufscar.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, SÃO CARLOS, SP,
13565-905, BRASIL

E-mail address: `rkapp@dm.ufscar.br`

DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTAÇÃO E MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE SÃO PAULO, RIBEIRÃO PRETO,
SP, 14040-901, BRASIL

E-mail address: `picon@ffclrp.usp.br.br`